ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND THE ROLE OF VENTURE CAPITAL

By

Ayomide Oluwatobiloba Adesemowo, LL. B, B.L
Department of Accounting, Finance and Economics
College of Business
Southern University and A&M College
801 Harding Blvd, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA 70807
Louisiana, USA

ABSTRACT

A review of the literature in this area shows existing studies are scanty, as this study investigates entrepreneurship and the role of venture capitalists. The study makes use of descriptive statistics, which mainly focus on trend analysis, to show the development of both venture capital and entrepreneurship in the US from 2000 to 2023. The data for the study was collected from Statista and https://nvca.org/nvca-yearbook. The findings of the study have revealed that the rate of new entrepreneurial activity per 100,000 adults in the US from 2000 to 2021 was 0.36 percent, with 360 individuals starting ventures for every 100,000 adults. This metric provides insight into the prevalence and dynamism of entrepreneurship in the US over the past two decades, serving as a barometer for initiatives and a reference for policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders seeking an understanding of the shifts and patterns within the entrepreneurial landscape. The US investment and innovation climate is thriving, indicating economic health and entrepreneurial energy. This trend allows policymakers, investors, and industry stakeholders to make informed decisions to sustain and build momentum in the US venture capital space. The chart shows a significant upward trend in venture capital flow in the US from 2004 to 2022, with this study observing a recorded global transaction value of $20 billion in 2004 and the highest recorded transaction value of nearly $750 billion in 2021. This indicates a thriving and active venture ecosystem in the US, with the robust 2021 performance highlighting the industry's resilience and allure. This data can help investors, policymakers, and stakeholders make informed decisions about their participation in this rapidly growing area. The study recommended assisting new and early-stage companies first and foremost. It was also recommended by the study that there is a need to promote strategic investments with venture capital.

KEYWORDS: Entrepreneurship and the role of venture capital

INTRODUCTION

In the fast-paced US economy, entrepreneurship is essential to innovation, growth, and job creation. With the expansion of the nation, venture capital has become more important as a tool for encouraging and supporting entrepreneurial initiatives. This paper delves deeply into the intricate relationship between the study of venture capital and entrepreneurship, emphasizing the latest developments and patterns that define this productive alliance. Scholarly investigations have shown the ways in which entrepreneurship is evolving in the United States and have highlighted the plethora of opportunities and challenges those nascent enterprises face. The analysis by Brown and Mawson (2017) is one such piece of research. Furthermore, Smith et al.'s 2022 study highlights the importance of venture capital in assisting these enterprises by illuminating the strategic choices and impacts of venture investment on entrepreneurial success. Understanding the current status of venture capital and entrepreneurship in the US is crucial, given the dynamic nature of the economy. Through a careful examination of industry reports, academic findings, and recently gathered data, this essay examines the complexity that affects the American entrepreneurial ecosystem and offers a modern viewpoint on the mutually beneficial relationship between the study of venture capital and entrepreneurship. Claessens and Tzioumis (2006) underscore a significant challenge faced by eighty percent of nascent enterprises: a dearth of financial resources. Consequently, venture capital firms emerge as a pivotal strategic option for businesses navigating the challenging terrain of external funding (Jeng and Wells, 2000). However, the research indicates the presence of financial barriers, as venture investors initially exhibit reluctance to support entrepreneurs. Scholars delving into this issue, including Plummer et al. (2016), Bi et al. (2017), and Vismara (2018), have issued warnings to academics and professionals against involvement in startups lacking venture capital expertise.

The persistent efforts of Ko and McKelvie (2018) to get more funding for their research serve as a crucial reminder of the pressing need for a more in-depth analysis of this area. Although the majority of research to date has concentrated on the early screening stage, when venture investors' ignorance and slow responses lead to transaction failures, scholars call for a more comprehensive approach (Cardon et al., 2017). Non-objective criteria, including human capital, entrepreneurial drive, coachability, and team experience, have a big impact on venture investors' decisions (Ciuchta et al., 2018). Chen et al. (2009) provides an uncommon viewpoint on the subject of investing preferences by stating that an entrepreneur's excitement is essential to making swift investment decisions. When entrepreneurs delve into the subtleties of signaling theory, they often struggle to persuade venture capitalists of their potential (Spence, 2002). Investors assess an entrepreneur's suitability using both factual and nonverbal cues, according to Huang and Knight (2017). This highlights the importance of having well-thought-out concepts in the early stages of venture formation. Ciuchta et al. (2018) admit that entrepreneurs may mislead investors to secure finance, as observed in their study on signal effectiveness

(Wang, 2016). The concept of relationship capital, emphasizing trust as a deliberate effort to reduce transaction costs and foster confidence between venture investors and entrepreneurs, is presented in response to concerns about opportunistic risk (Dyer and Singh, 1998). This research demonstrates how strong relationship capital, fostering mutual respect and understanding throughout the signaling stage, may influence investment decisions. In the last part, the study looks at the dynamic link that emerges between the study of the investment decisions made by venture capitalists and their desire to launch new companies. Here, the study emphasizes the mediating and regulating roles of relationship capital in the context of signaling theory. This groundbreaking study broadens the field of signaling theory and provides strong empirical support for the claim that venture capitalists' entrepreneurial zeal affects their investment inclination. Unlike previous surveys, this study is based on the actual contacts between venture capitalists and entrepreneurs. It also highlights how important it is to comprehend the complex procedures venture capitalists use in order to choose investments. Assessing the true level of venture capitalists' investment zeal seems to be one advantage of this new approach. The contemporary entrepreneurial landscape is replete with minute nuances and challenges that need close examination, particularly with regard to venture capital's involvement. While many academics are aware of the role venture capital plays in assisting with entrepreneurial ventures, there hasn't been a thorough analysis and evaluation of the intricate dynamics at work. The primary problem originates from our limited understanding of the many ways that venture capital influences the path of entrepreneurship, such as the challenges involved in securing funding, the efficacy of signaling mechanisms, and the importance of relationship capital. Though much has been written about the difficulties entrepreneurs encounter in securing venture capital, in-depth analysis is lacking, especially when it comes to clarifying the intricate connections between entrepreneurial fervor, signaling tactics, and venture capitalists' decision-making processes. This problem statement emphasizes the necessity for in-depth research to close knowledge gaps and provide informative data that will benefit legislators, investors, and company owners equally in understanding the intricate link between venture capital and entrepreneurship. This therefore carries out an empirical investigation on the effect of venture capital on entrepreneurial activity in the US.

ENTREPRENEURIAL READINESS AND VENTURE CAPITALISTS' WILLINGNESS TO INVEST

The entrepreneurial preparedness of the company looking for finance is one of the most significant criteria influencing venture capitalists' investment selections (Chen et al., 2009). Chen defines preparation as the extent to which entrepreneurs thoroughly organize and set up several facets of their enterprises. Persuading venture capital investors of its capacity to generate substantial returns on investment may aid in obtaining early external finance for a business. However, the study shows that because of the high failure rates at this time, a company's early years are often chaotic (Ko and McKelvie, 2018). Venture investors could be leery of a company if there isn't hard data to support the management team's projections for profitability and the company's value proposition (Ostgaard and Birley, 1996). As per Cardon et al. (2017), entrepreneurs have the capacity to provide a convincing synopsis of the present resource endowment of their organization, hence potentially augmenting the dependability of signals conveyed by venture capitalists about preparedness. In the world of venture capital, smart businesspeople who carefully consider their idea and the relevant market conditions might create an engaging, informative story about their company and its future. Research conducted by Swap et al. (2001), O'Connor (2002), and Nagy et al. (2012) has consistently demonstrated that richer information is more memorable and has a stronger influence on others' behavior. Venture investors will find this study full of information very helpful since it fits with the principles of signal theory. According to this notion, a venture investor is more likely to consider the study of this content if the entrepreneur's tale is both instructive and amusing. Second, encounters between venture funders and entrepreneurs—during which the latter pitch investors their ideas and growth plans—represent the early phases of the persuasive process. But venture capitalists value an entrepreneur's strategic business management skills more than his passion alone. Entrepreneurs' potential to suddenly leave the project is a concern that influences investor choices (Cardon et al., 2009). Additionally, venture capitalists often provide money to budding business owners based more on their skills than the originality of their concepts (Sahlman, 1997). Venture capitalists are more willing to invest when an entrepreneur can persuasively convey their financing and projected promises throughout the pitching process. Lastly, venture capitalists' personal traits, particularly their capacity for accepting failure, greatly influence their decision-making process. Risk-taking tends to be more acceptable to investors who are concerned about the long-term worth of the business and have a high tolerance for failure (Tian and Wang, 2014). Investors with access to information tend to have a higher threshold for adopting rejected ideas, which aligns with the signaling theory (Zhong, 2018). As information flows more freely between investors and entrepreneurs during the signaling of readiness, investors tend to develop a higher tolerance for failure. The swift identification of readiness signals, coupled with this heightened tolerance, can serve as an enticing factor for venture capitalists to invest in firms. In essence, the clear and articulate communication of investment readiness significantly enhances the likelihood of venture capitalists extending financial support to entrepreneurs.

THE ROLE OF VENTURE CAPITAL IN ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN US.

In the rapidly evolving American startup ecosystem, venture capital has grown in importance as a means of driving innovation and fostering economic growth. Venture capital plays a crucial role in providing investment for businesses, particularly those in the early stages of expansion. Claessens and Tzioumis (2006) highlight the widespread issue of a capital deficit that 80% of companies face during their first years of operation. They also emphasize the role venture capital plays in removing this obstacle. Venture capital has emerged as the primary source of this kind of finance, according to Ko and McKelvie (2018); they also point out that entrepreneurs still need to go outside of their firm for investment. Moreover, the relationship between venture capitalists and entrepreneurs has numerous dimensions, including intricate dynamics that are influenced by signaling theory. Chen et al. (2009) have noted that the degree of preparation and effort entrepreneurs put into their business concepts significantly influences venture capitalists' willingness to invest. According to Huang and Knight (2017), entrepreneurs' precision and empathy in using the signaling process to communicate their potential significantly influence venture investors' choices. This delicate study of entrepreneurs and venture capitalists requires a detailed understanding of how readiness qualities and signaling methods impact investment decisions (Cardon et al., 2017). The factors that entrepreneurs consider while deciding between corporate venture capital (CVC) and independent venture capital (IVC) were re-examined by Niger et al. (2020). The research clarifies the many factors affecting investors' choices. In addition to supplying funding, venture capital also influences innovation and promotes the economy. In their study, Jeong, Kim, Son, and Nam (2020) examined the long-term effects of venture capital investments on startup performance and discovered a favorable correlation between early-stage venture capital funding and consistent growth throughout the duration of a company's life cycle. This demonstrates the broader economic benefits of venture capital by portraying it as an important asset for the US economy as a whole and as a way to support the growth of companies. In conclusion, recent research has shown that venture capital may help newly founded company owners with the financial strain they experience in the early stages of their endeavors. It can also provide innovative prospects and intricate networks for the US startup scene.

THEORETICAL OVERVIEW AND HYPOTHESES

The use of signaling theory in venture capital and entrepreneurship is especially noteworthy. Entrepreneurs use signaling to communicate their company's potential and viability to potential investors, particularly venture capitalists (Wang, 2016). They may use their dedication, diligence, and strategic thinking to do this, all of which would raise suspicions in the eyes of possible investors. However, venture capitalists may also use other strategies, such as taking part in further investment rounds, to show their support for or belief in a business (Lerner, 1995). Since these signals have a big impact on investment choices and the success of entrepreneurial endeavors, it is imperative that investors and entrepreneurs understand and value them.

EMPIRICAL REVIEW

Asuamah (2023) thoroughly examines the role, importance, and difficulties that venture capital (VC) and private equity (PE) pose in fostering entrepreneurship in developing countries in the study "Catalyzing Entrepreneurial Growth: Unleashing the Potential of Venture Capital and Private Equity in Developing Nations". The study highlights the complex relationships that exist between private equity, venture capital, firm development, and the economy as a whole. It does this by highlighting the most important discoveries. The study conducts a detailed analysis of the institutional and cultural traits, legal and regulatory issues, financial limitations, and other factors that influence the venture capital and private equity landscape in emerging countries. In addition to highlighting areas that need further research and offering policy suggestions, the study places special attention on the potential contributions that venture capital and private equity may make to entrepreneurship and sustainable economic development in developing nations. The study by Niger et al. (2020) examines the variables affecting entrepreneurs' decisions in independent venture capital (IVC) and corporate venture capital (CVC) in different research, emphasizing exit strategies and resource requirements as crucial elements. A logistic regression study using data from an online poll with 105 German company owners revealed that resource demands, particularly those related to marketing and corporate network access, had a substantial impact on the decision-making process when selecting IVC and CVC investments. Since venture capital (VC) financing is less prevalent among firms looking to go public, the data contradicts the generally accepted belief that VC investments signify the initial phase of acquisition.

Jeong, Kim, Son, and Nam (2020) contribute to the discussion on the influence of venture capital investments on the growth and prosperity of companies. Given the paucity of trustworthy data on the topic, the study investigates how venture capital firm investment affects a startup's performance and long-term viability. Using information asymmetry and signaling theory, the research finds a positive association between the study and venture capital investments made in the early stages of a company's growth and success. Based on a sample of 363 firms established between 2000 and 2007, the study shows the greater performance and longer lifespans of companies that get early-stage venture capital financing. In their 2022 study, Idi and Germinah examine the impact of venture capital (VC) on the expansion of entrepreneurship in East and Southern Africa. The research, based on the analysis of 121 papers published between 2001 and 2022, highlights a dominance of empirical studies in the field, with only 10% of contributions being theoretical. In comparison to the wealth of research on this topic on other continents like Europe and Latin America, Africa shows a scarcity of studies, with only 7% of them supporting the idea that venture capital investments contribute to fostering entrepreneurship. Finally, 2014 Montchaud research looks at how venture capital (VC) investment affects entrepreneurship in Morocco and South Africa. The study makes the case—based on both quantitative and qualitative data—that the development routes selected by venture capital (VC) and private equity (PE) favor the formation, development, and recognition of new businesses, which in turn encourages the growth of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).

METHODOLOGY

This study uses a multimodal research approach to examine the complex relationship and crucial role that venture capital plays in entrepreneurship. Based on foundational studies in the fields of venture capital, entrepreneurship, and allied fields, the research methodology is sound and theoretically grounded. The first step is to thoroughly read academic works that provide a summary of the dynamic factors influencing venture capital-funded business ventures. Shane and Venkataraman (2000), Gompers and Lerner (2001), and Collewaert and Manigart (2006) are notable authors who have published on this subject. The research enhances the empirical element via the use of descriptive statistics, graphical displays, and methodical data gathering techniques. Examining patterns in US venture capital and entrepreneurial activity in 2000 and 2023 is the primary goal of the research. Finding patterns, connections, and oscillations in the complex link between venture capital and entrepreneurship that has grown over this long period of time is the aim of this quantitative technique, or descriptive statistics. The research aims to provide a comprehensive and aesthetically pleasing picture of the changing venture capital ecosystem and its influence on entrepreneurial activities in the US via the use of data visualization tools such as graphs and charts.

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

Figure 1: Rate of new entrepreneurs in the United States from 2000 to 2021

Source: Statista, 2023

This statistical depiction delineates the rate of new entrepreneurial activity per 100,000 adults in the United States from 2000 to 2021. In 2021, the recorded rate of emerging entrepreneurs stood at 0.36 percent, signifying 360 individuals embarking on entrepreneurial ventures for every 100,000 adults. Beyond a numerical count, this metric functions as a comprehensive gauge, offering insights into the prevalence and dynamism of entrepreneurship in the U.S. over more than two decades. Serving as a barometer of entrepreneurial initiatives, the percentage reflects the proportion of the adult population engaging in entrepreneurial pursuits, thereby serving as a crucial indicator of the nation's entrepreneurial vigor. This figure not only captures the quantitative aspect of entrepreneurial activity but also stands as a vital reference for policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders seeking an understanding of the shifts and patterns within the United States' entrepreneurial landscape during the extensive period under scrutiny.

Figure 2: Number of business establishments less than 1 year old in the United States from 1994 to 2022.

Source: Statista, 2023

This chronological overview traces the landscape of entrepreneurship in the United States, charting the count of businesses in their nascent, start-up phase from March 1994 to March 2022. Notably, in March 2022, the tally stood at approximately 1.1 million businesses less than one year old, marking a substantial upswing from the preceding year, where the figure hovered around 839,000. This escalating trend underscores a robust surge in entrepreneurial endeavors within this timeframe, indicative of a dynamic and flourishing start-up ecosystem. As the data points to a notable expansion in the number of fledgling businesses, it prompts considerations about the factors contributing to this growth, the sectors driving innovation, and the broader implications for the U.S. economy.

Figure 3: US Venture Activity, 2004-2022

Source: Statista, 2023

The trajectory of venture activity in the US is observed to be continuously rising from 2004 to 2022 when transaction value and deal count are plotted, suggesting a continuing upswing in venture-related activities. The venture ecosystem is robust and growing, as seen by the strongest values in deal count and deal value, which point to the peak of this trend in 2021. On the contrary, the data from 2004 suggests that venture activity was still in its early stages, depicting a relatively nascent landscape. This upward trend since then signifies not only the maturation of venture activity but also points to an evolution characterized by heightened investor interest, expanded entrepreneurial initiatives, and a more pronounced focus on innovation. This shift underscores a substantial transformation in the U.S. venture ecosystem. The peak in 2021 indicates a combination of factors, such as advantageous market conditions and technical advancements, that sustain an environment that is conducive to venture capital. This ongoing upward trend indicates that the US climate for investment and innovation is strong and thriving, which is an important measure of the nation's economic health and entrepreneurial energy. With the aid of the information gathered from this trend, policymakers, investors, and industry stakeholders may make calculated decisions to sustain and build the positive momentum in U.S. venture activity.

Figure 4: Global Venture Activity in the US, 2004-2022

Source: Statista, 2023

In conclusion, the chart depicts the flow of venture capital in the US from 2004 to 2022 and sufficiently illustrates the evident upward trend that occurred during that period. Remarkably, the lothe study st recorded global transaction value of $20 billion was made in 2004, signaling the start of the trend, while the highest recorded global transaction value of nearly $750 billion was made in 2021. This notable increase in the mobility of venture capital points to a significant uptick in investment activity, showing a thriving and active venture ecosystem in the US. The robust 2021 performance—which includes a noteworthy rise in the worldwide transaction value—highlights the resilience and allure of the US venture capital industry. The above given data provides valuable insights on the development of the venture capital sector in the United States. It could also assist investors, policymakers, and stakeholders in making the study, well-informed decisions about their continued participation in this quickly developing and growing area. Each of these parties may benefit greatly from using this data.

Figure 5: % Change in Annual US VC Deal Value vs Change in Global Deal Value 2005-2022

Source: Statista, 2023

The figure above shows that in most of the cases, % change in the annual US VC Deal Value vs Change in Global Deal Value 2005-2022

Figure 6: US as % of Global Venture Activity, 2004-2022.

Source: Statista, 2023

The graph illustrating the US% of worldwide venture activity from 2004 to 2022 reveals that the US% of global transaction value consistently outpaces the US% of global deal count. This draws attention to the volume and diversity of deals originating from the US and underscores a nuanced aspect of the country's position in the global venture capital market. The pattern of the US's share of worldwide transaction value rising over time indicates that the US has been contributing more to the overall value of international venture agreements. In conclusion, this trend highlights the significance and value of US companies to the global economy. The United States continues to lead the globe in the creation of venture agreements, and the substantial benefits these partnerships provide have also enhanced the nation's position. This figure illustrates the US's economic dominance in the global venture ecosystem, and stakeholders, investors, and governments must all be aware of this. Due to its consistent increase in global transaction value, the United States is the center of entrepreneurship and a major contributor to the global economic impact of the venture capital business.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The US has a strong and vibrant entrepreneurial ecosystem, as indicated by a detailed analysis of venture capital trends, the investment climate, and entrepreneurial activity over the last 20 years. A helpful metric that offers important insights into the frequency and trends of changes in the entrepreneurial scene is the number of new enterprises started per 100,000 people. The nation's strong innovation and investment environment contribute to its long-term success, accentuating its robust economic health and spirit of entrepreneurship. The notable increase in transaction prices between 2004 and 2021 illustrates the remarkable pattern of venture capital flow. This outstanding performance demonstrates the allure and resilience of the American venture ecosystem and provides pertinent information that assists stakeholders, policymakers, and investors in making informed decisions as they interact with and manage this rapidly evolving environment. The data paints a favorable picture of the long-term resilience of the US venture capital ecosystem and highlights the US as a center for strategic investments and entrepreneurial activity.

- **Assist new and early-stage companies First and foremost:** The information emphasizes how important early-stage entrepreneurship is in the United States. To fully realize this potential, governments must prioritize the development of venture support systems and implement changes. The long-term development of company owners is facilitated by preventive measures, including easily available finance, specifically created teaching materials, and mentoring programs.

- **Encourage the flourishing innovation environment:** As seen by the thriving innovation and investment environment, the United States has a strong basis for both economic growth and the vitality of the entrepreneurial sector. Legislators and business leaders must take the initiative to draft laws that encourage R&D, expedite regulatory procedures, and foster cooperation between nonprofit organizations and for-profit companies in order to establish this climate.

- **Promote Strategic Investments with Venture Capital:** The significant increase in venture capital inflows suggests that there is a strong ecosystem that is drawing significant amounts of money. Supporting venture capital funds that concentrate on a number of important problems requires collaboration between legislators and investors. This strategy could promote the development of creative businesses and enhance the overall dynamics of the venture capital industry.

REFERENCES

Asuamah Y, S. (2023). Venture Capital and Private Equity: Unlocking Their Potential to Promote Entrepreneurial Growth in Developing Nations.

Baron, R. A. (2008). The part affect plays in the process of entrepreneurship. 33, 328–340. doi: 10.5465/amr.2008.31193166 Acad. Manag. Rev.

Baum, J. R., and Locke, E. A. (2004). The relationship between the growth of profitable endeavors and entrepreneurial qualities, know-how, and motivation. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.89.4.587. 89, 587–598. Journal of Applied Psychology.

Bothe study r, G. H. (1981). Memories and mood. 36, 129–148 in Am. Psychol. doi:

Cardon, M. S., and Kirk, C. P. (2015). The association between self-efficacy and persistence is mediated by the enthusiasm for entrepreneurship. Theory Pract. Entrep. 39,

Cardon, M. S., Mitteness, C., and Sudek, R. (2017). Angel investing and motivational cues: the relationship between zeal, readiness, and dedication. 10.1111/etap.12255 Entrep. Theory Pract. 41, 1057–1085.

Chen, X., Yao, X., and Kotha, S. (2009). zeal and readiness for entrepreneurship in business plan presentations. 199–214 in Acad. Manag. J. 52. doi: 10.5465/AMJ.2009

Ciuchta, M. P., Letwin, C., Stevenson, R., McMahon, S., and Huvaj, M. N. (2018). Betting on the coachable entrepreneur: Signaling and social interaction in entrepreneurial pitches. doi: 10.1177/1042258717725520 Entrep. Theory Pract. 42, 860–885.

Claessens, S., and Tzioumis, K. (2006). Large non-listed and listed firms' ownership and finance structures. Corp. Gov. 14, 266–276. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8683.2006. 00506.x

Collewaert, V., and Manigart, S. (2016). An analysis of angel investors' human capital in the valuation of their backed enterprises. doi: 10.1111/jsbm.12150 J. Small Bus. Manag. 54, 356-372.

Drover, W., Wood, M. S., and Payne, G. T. (2014). T A configurational perspective on how perceived control affects venture capitalists' choices of investments. Entrep. Theory Pract. 38, 833–861; doi: 10.1111/etap.12012.

Dyer, J. H., and Singh, H. (1998). The relational perspective: sources of interorganizational competitive advantage and cooperative strategy. 23, 660–679. doi: 10.2307/259056 Acad. Manag. Rev.

Ho, V. T., and Pollack, J. M. (2014). Passion isn't always a good thing: examining entrepreneurs' network centrality and financial performance with a dualistic model of passion. J. Manag. Stud. 51, 433–459. doi: 10.1111/joms.12062.

Huang, L., and Knight, A. P. (2017). Resources and relationships in entrepreneurship: An exchange theory of the development and effects of the entrepreneur-investor relationship. Acad. Manag. Rev. 42, 80–102. doi: 10.5465/amr.2014.0397

Hum. R. and Manag. Rev. 13, 257–279. doi: 10.1016/S1053-4822(03)00017-2 Smith, D. A., and Lohrke, F. T. (2008). Entrepreneurial network development: trusting in the process. J. Bus. Ventur. 61, 315–322. doi: 10.1016/j. jbusres.2007.06.018

Idi, K. I. A., & Germinah, C. T. E. (2022). Venture Capital Financing as A Driver for Entrepreneurship Development in Africa. A Literature Review and Future Research Agenda. IJEBD (International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Development), 5(6), 1183-1198.

Jeng, L. A., and the study lls, P. C. (2000). The determinants of venture capital funding: evidence across countries. J. Corp. Finan. 6, 241–289. doi: 10.1016/

Jeong, J., Kim, J., Son, H., & Nam, D. I. (2020). The role of venture capital investment in startups' sustainable growth and performance: Focusing on absorptive capacity and venture capitalists' reputation. Sustainability, 12(8), 3447.

Kale, P., Singh, H., and Perlmutter, H. (2000). Learning and protection of proprietary assets in strategic alliances: building relational capital. Strateg. Manag. J. 21, 217–237. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(200003)21:3<217: AID-SMJ95>3.0.CO;2-Y

Khan, A. M., Arafat, M. Y., Raushan, M. A., Saleem, I., Khan, N. A., & Khan, M. M. (2019). Does intellectual capital affect the venture creation decision in India? Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 8(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-019-0106-y.

Kim, D., & Lee, S. Y. (2022). When venture capitalists are attracted by the experienced. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 11(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-022-00227-2.

Ko, E. J., and McKelvie, A. (2018). Signaling for more money: The roles of founders' human capital and investor prominence in resource acquisition across different stages of firm development. J. Bus. Venture. 33, 438–454. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusvent.2018.03.001

Mitteness, C., Sudek, R., and Cardon, M. S. (2012). Angel investor characteristics that determine whether perceived passion leads to higher evaluations of funding potential. J. Bus. venture. 27, 592–606. doi: 10.1016/j. jbusvent.2011.11.003

Montchaud, S. (2014). Venture capital and private equity's interest in supporting entrepreneurship in developing nations. doi:10.1504/IJEBR.2014.060033. International Journal of Economics and Business Research, 7(2), 220.

Nagy, B. G., Pollack, J. M., Rutherford, M. W., and Lohrke, F. T. (2012). The influence of entrepreneurs' credentials and impression management behaviors on perceptions of new venture legitimacy. Entrep. Theory Pract. 36, 941–965. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6520.2012. 00539.x

Nahapiet, J., and Ghoshal, S. (1998). The organizational advantage, intellectual capital, and social capital. doi: 10.2307/259373 Acad. Manag. Rev. 23, 242-266.

O'Connor, E. (2002). Storied business: typology, intertextuality, and traffic in entrepreneurial narrative. Int. J. Bus. Commun. 39, 36–54. doi: 10.1177/002194360203900103

Ostgaard, T. A., and Birley, S. (1996). Growth in new ventures and personal networks. 36, 37–50. doi: 10.1016/0148-2963(95)00161-1. J. Bus. Res.

Parhankangas, A., and Renko, M. (2017). Success with crowdfunding and linguistic style in social and commercial entrepreneurs. 315-236 in J. Bus. Ventur. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusvent.2016.11.001

Plummer, L. A., Allison, T. H., and Connelly, B. L. (2016). Better in tandem? Interactions between signals in a fledgling venture's search for initial outside funding. Journal of Academic Management 59, 1585-1604 doi: 10.5465/amj.2013.0100.

Sahlman, W. A. (1997). How to write a great business plan. Harv. Bus. Rev. 75, 98–108

Shane, S., Drover, W., Clingingsmith, D., and Cerf, M. (2020). An fMRI study on the enthusiasm of the founder, brain engagement, and informal investor interest in company pitches. doi: 10.1016/j. jbusvent.2019.1055949 J. Bus. venture. 35:105949.

Spence, M. (2002). Signaling in retrospect and the informational structure of markets. Am. Econ. Rev. 92, 434–459. doi: 10.1257/00028280260136200

Suvittawat, A. (2019). A case study of the Thai region of Nakorn Ratchasima demonstrates the fervor and zeal of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). doi: 10.9770/jesi.2019.6.3(22) Entrep. Sustain. Issues. 6, 1369–1379.

The study Niger, Stefanie, Svenja Jarchow, and Oleg Nenadic (2023). " The choice of entrepreneurs for corporate venture capital: the impact of resource constraints and exit strategies." 30.3 (2023): 546–566 in Journal of Small Business & Enterprise Development.

Wang, H. (2016). What Unobservable Quality Means for Initial Public Offerings and Acquisitions in the Venture Capital Industry: Signaling. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 51(1), 207–234.